2

I, RENNY HWANG, declare as follows:

1. I am an attorney employed by Google Inc. ("Google"), where I have served as senior litigation counsel for the last six years. My job responsibilities include, but are not limited to, supervising our outside counsel in connection with litigation matters (such as this one), as well as familiarizing myself with the areas of Google's businesses and documentation concerning those businesses as they related to litigation matters under my supervision. I submit this declaration in support of Oracle's Administrative Motion to File Under Seal Manually Filed Exhibits to Declaration of Andrew D. Silverman [Dkt. No. 1550], Oracle's Motion to File Under Seal Portions of Oracle's Motion in Limine #4 re: Google's Damages Expert [Dkt. No. 1554], and Oracle's Administrative Motion to File Under Seal Exhibits to Silverman Declaration in Support of Oracle's Motions in Limine [Dkt. No. 1563] (collectively, "Oracle's Motions to Seal"). I have knowledge of the facts set forth herein, and if called upon as a witness, I could testify to them competently under oath.

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

2. I have reviewed the exhibits listed in Oracle's Administrative Motion to File Under Seal Manually Filed Exhibits to Declaration of Andrew D. Silverman [Dkt. No. 1550].

A. Exhibit 4 to the Declaration of Andrew D. Silverman is the Rebuttal Expert Report of Dr. Gregory K. Leonard [Corrected] dated March 10, 2016 ("Exhibit 4"); Exhibit 9 is a copy of the Expert Report of Dr. Itamar Simonson and corresponding exhibits ("Exhibit 9"); Exhibit 30 is excerpts of the deposition transcript of Dr. James Malackowski, dated March 17, 2016 ("Exhibit 30"); and Exhibit 31 is excerpts of the deposition transcript of Dr. Gregory Leonard, dated March 11, 2016 (Exhibit 31"). The following portions of Exhibits 4, 30, and 31 contain Google's extremely confidential and commercially sensitive Android-related financial information:

Exhibit 4:

- p. 8, second bulleted paragraph: revenue and financial condition which are not public.
- p. 9, second bulleted paragraph: revenue and financial condition which are not public.

25262728

2

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

contained in columns 2013-2015, total.

Ex. 1b Notes: financial numbers and figures which are not public

Case 3:10-cv-03561-WHA Document 1580 Filed 03/28/16 Page 4 of 7

1046112

Exhibit 31: 1 60:7: after "of" and after "be". 2 3 60:9: before "dollar". Google does not publicly allocate revenue or profits to Android separate and apart from 4 5 Google's general business. Accordingly, Google considers the non-public financial data 6 identified above to be highly sensitive, and public disclosure of that information could have 7 significant negative effects on Google's business. Google only seeks to seal the specific numbers 8 and percentages contained in the above listed passages. 9 B. In addition, the following portions of Exhibits 4, 30, and 31 contain sensitive information related to Google's agreement with third parties. 10 11 Exhibit 4: p. 27 ¶ 51: revenue and financial condition which are not public. 12 13 p. 33 ¶¶ 63-64: revenue and financial condition which are not public. Ex. 1a.3: revenue and agreement terms which are not public contained 14 15 in rows for iPhone Recapture Adjustment and Profit. 16 Ex. 1b: revenue and agreement terms which are not public contained in rows for Diversion Ratio, Search Share and iPhone Recapture 17 Adjustment. 18 19 Ex. 3d.1: revenue and agreement terms which are not public contained in rows for Diversion Ratio, iPhone Offset, and Net Loss of Profit. 20 21 Ex. 3d.2: revenue and agreement terms which are not public contained in rows for Ad Revenue Diversion Ration (from Android to iOS). 22 Ex. 3d.3: revenue and agreement terms which are not public contained 23 in rows for Diversion Ratio (Android to iOS). 24 25 Exhibit 30: 26 179:23, between "it's" and "of". 27 179:24. 28

The information relating to third-party agreements that is quoted, discussed, and/or summarized in the passages above is subject to stringent confidentiality requirements contained within the relevant agreement. Indeed, Google places strict limits on who has access to the terms of these agreements to ensure confidentiality is retained. Also, Google does not disclose this information to the public. Public disclosure of this information could severely and adversely impact Google's ability to negotiate, among other things, similar terms with other third parties in connection with similar agreements now or in the future. Google only seeks to seal the specific numbers and terms in the above listed portions.

- C. **Exhibit 9** is a copy of the Expert Report of Dr. Itamar Simonson and corresponding exhibits. *See* Dkt. No. 1566. Exhibits D, G, and I were manually filed, and Oracle filed a corresponding Motion to Seal these manually filed exhibits. *See* Dkt. No. 1563. Exhibit D contains the personal identifying information of over 5,000 application developers, including names, addresses, phone numbers, and email addresses.
- 3. I have also reviewed the material listed in Oracle's Motion to File Under Seal Portions of Oracle's Motion in Limine #4 re: Google's Damages Expert ("Oracle's MIL #4") [Dkt. No. 1554]. The following portions of **Oracle's Motion in Limine #4** contain information derived from the confidential terms of Google's agreement with third parties:
 - 7:7: revenue and agreement terms which are not public.
 - 7:20: revenue and agreement terms which are not public.
 - 10:12: revenue and agreement terms which are not public.
 - 10:21: revenue and agreement terms which are not public.

The information relating to third-party agreements that is quoted, discussed, and/or summarized in the passages above is subject to stringent confidentiality requirements contained within the relevant agreement. Indeed, Google places strict limits on who has access to the terms of these agreements to ensure confidentiality is retained. Also, Google does not disclose this information to the public. Public disclosure of this information could severely and adversely impact Google's ability to negotiate, among other things, similar terms with other third parties in